

**DEBICA**

**RB 29/2019**

**POLISH FINANCIAL SUPERVISION AUTHORITY  
Current Report No 29/2019**

**Date:** December 13, 2019

**Abbreviated name of issuer:**  
DEBICA

**Subject:** Granting loans to Goodyear SA

**Legal grounds:**  
Art. 17 item 1 MAR – confidential information

**Report content:**

The Management Board of Tire Company Debica S.A. with its registered office in Debica ("Company") informs that today the Company concluded with Goodyear S.A. with its registered office in Colmar-Berg, Luxemburg ("Goodyear") three loan agreements under which the Company granted Goodyear loans of a joint value PLN 165 million.

The first loan agreement was concluded in the amount of PLN 25 million and its repayment date was set for March 3, 2020. The second loan agreement was concluded in the amount of PLN 35 million and its repayment date was set for April 1, 2020. The third loan agreement was concluded in the amount of PLN 105 million and its repayment date was set at 11 December 2020. The loan repayment date was set at 25 September 2020.

All three loans bear interest at a rate of [WIBOR1Y + 0.1% margin] per annum, which is currently 1.94% per annum. All loan agreements provide for both Goodyear's right to early repayment and the Company's right to demand early repayment. Repayment of the loans is secured by a guarantee from The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company with its registered office in Akron, Ohio, USA, the indirect parent of the Company.

In connection with the timely repayment on 13 December 2019 of an earlier loan granted in the nominal amount of PLN 40 million (the amount shown does not include accrued and repaid interest) by Goodyear (about which the Company informed in the current report No. 34/2018 of 14 December 2018), the total value of loans granted to Goodyear at present amounts to PLN 350 million (capital, not including accrued interest).

Goodyear S.A. is the indirect parent of the Company and through Goodyear Holdings S.à.r.l holds indirectly 87.25% of the Company's shares.

The conclusion of the Agreement in question was deemed confidential due to its value and the fact that it was granted to the indirectly dominant entity.